





First Named

Inventor:

Pradeep K. Subrahmanyan

Appln. No.:

09/767,546

Filed:

January 23, 2001

For

DISC STORAGE SYSTEM WITH ADAPTIVE Examiner: Andrew L. Sniezak

PID CONTROL

Docket No.:

S01.12-0644

REQUEST FOR ACTION ON SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL PAPERS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, THIS

Group Art Unit: 2651

26 DAY OF September 2006.

PATENT ATTORNEY

More than a year has passed since Applicant's filing of Supplemental Appeal Papers of February 10, 2004, and the US Patent Office has not issued an Office Action. Applicant requests a prompt and favorable Office Action. The history and the delays encountered are summarized below:

Prosecution

- 1. The USPTO mailed an Office Action on December 16, 2003, and the Applicant filed a Request for Reinstatement of Appeal, a Supplemental Appeal Brief and a \$330.00 filing fee (hereinafter, "the Supplemental Appeal Papers") on February 10, 2004.
- 2. Applicant contacted the Examiner by phone August 4-5, 2004 and discussed the non-response of the USPTO. Per the Examiner's suggestion, duplicates of the Supplemental Appeal Papers were faxed to the Examiner on August 5, 2004.
- 3. The USPTO did not issue an office action in response to Applicant's fax of August 5, 2004, and Applicant faxed a status inquiry to the Patent Office on October 1, 2004.

Adjustment date: 10/02/2006 DEHMANUL 02/19/2004 MBIZUNES U0000085 09/6/546 01 FT:1402

Applicant left a voice mail message for the Examiner on November 3, 2004 4.

asking for an estimate of a date when a response to Applicant's Supplemental Appeal Brief could

be expected.

5. The Patent Office did not issue a status report in response to Applicant's

status inquiry, and the Applicant spoke with the Examiner on May 20, 2005, and mailed another

status inquiry on March 23, 2005.

6. The Patent Office did not issue a status report in response to Applicant's

status inquiry, and the Applicant resent the Supplemental Appeal Papers and a letter by fax on June

13, 2005.

7. The Patent Office did not issue an office action in response to the

Supplemental Appeal papers that were resent on June 13, 2005, and Applicant faxed another status

inquiry on July 22, 2005. There was no response.

Request for Action on the Supplemental Appeal Papers

Applicant requests that the Patent Office respond to Applicant's Supplemental

Appeal Papers filed on February 10, 2004. The then-current filing fee of \$330.00 was paid. The

current fee for filing an appeal brief is \$500.00. With this request, Applicant submits a check for the

difference, \$170.00. Prompt and favorable action are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

David C. Bohn, Reg. No. 32,015

900 Second Avenue South, Suite 1400

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319

Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312